WELL CORE DESCRIPTION AND NATURAL FRACTURES OF THE SEGO AND CASTLEGATE SANDSTONES OF THE CRETACEOUS MESAVERDE GROUP, GREATER NATURAL BUTTES FIELD, UINTA BASIN, UTAH Thomas C. Chidsey, Jr., and Michael D. Laine Utah Geological Survey, Salt Lake City, Utah

ABSTRACT

dip on the southern flank of the basin. The field produc- and completion strategies. es natural gas primarily from the Mesaverde Group and The Sego and Castlegate core was recovered from the ginal marine deposit. 2 TCF of gas from about 3750 wells.

Most of the gas production is from highly compartme tion of the Mesaverde Group. The Sego and Castlegate cally have higher water saturation and are not typically

the Tertiary Wasatch Formation. GNB has produced over Natural Buttes Unit (NBU) no. 253 well (section 10, T. Twenty-six fractures were identified in core. Most are from the vertical bore hole section.

A detailed description of over 200 feet (61 m) of slabbed teristics similar to productive sandstones. Production in stones have similar lithology and consist mostly of sandcore from the Sego and Castlegate Sandstones (Upper these tight-gas-sand reservoirs is achieved through mas- stone interbedded with black bioturbated and carbona-Cretaceous Mesaverde Group) was conducted to identify sive hydraulic fracture treatments, so understanding the ceous shale. Individual sandstones range from very fine and characterize depositional environments and natural natural fracture systems and reservoir heterogeneity cre- to fine grained and have low-angle cross-bedding, small fractures in Greater Natural Buttes field (GNB), Uinta Ba- ated by various depositional environments can aid in hy- ripples, sparse burrows, and moderate bioturbation. The sin, Utah. GNB field lies in an area of gentle northwest draulic fracturing optimization and lead to better drilling Castlegate was deposited in a marginal marine to lower coastal plain environment, whereas the Sego is a mar-

9 S., R. 21 E.). The well was initially completed in the natural fractures between 2 and 9 inches (8 to 20 cm) Castlegate in a horizontal leg off the main bore hole, but long vertically, closed or slightly open with no discernable talized, lenticular, channel sandstones in the upper por- due to low gas and high water volumes, the well was re- mineralization. Several appear to be drilling induced and completed in Wasatch and upper Mesaverde sandstones are nearly vertical and very long (~ 2.5 ft [0.75 m]) with Sandstones in the lower portion of the Mesaverde typi- in the vertical section. The cored interval was recovered no mineralization. Portions of the core illustrating various depositional environments and fracture types will be

productive, but have depositional and fracture charac- Core analysis shows that the Castlegate and Sego Sand- available for viewing and discussion.





Location of Greater Natural Buttes Gas Field in the Uinta Basin, Utah.

Stratigraphy of the Mesaverde Group in the Uinta Basin.

Paleogeography of the eastern Uinta Basin during the Late Campanian of the Late Cretaceous (modifed from Fouch and others, 1992).



Deposition of the Castlegate Sandstone in a braided stream, lower coastal plain, and marginal marine



Deposition of the Buck Tongue Member of the Mancos Shale in an offshore marine environment and the Sego Sandstone in a marginal marine environment.



Deposition of the Neslen Formation in a coastal plain environment.



Deposition of the Farrer and Tuscher Formations in an alluvial plain environment.

GREATER NATURAL BUTTES FIELD GENERAL OVERVIEW



Stagecoach Unit



Wasa

• Spacing -

Greater Natural Buttes Discovery Well Data (Osmond, 1992; Utah DOGM, 2010)

Mesaverde Group	• N
 Continental Oil Co. #1 Chapita Wells Unit (Sec. 16, T. 9 S., R. 23 E., Uintah Co., Utah) 	
• T.D. – 9517 ft (~ 2900 m)	• A [*]
• Completed – December 15, 1952	
 Producing Reservoirs – Cretaceous Mesaverde Group 	
• IPF – 62 BOPD, 275 MCFGPD	• M
Wasatch Formation	
 Continental Oil Co. #2 Chapita Wells Unit (Sec. 28, T. 9 S., R. 23 E., Uintah Co., Utah) 	• W
• T.D. – 9125 ft (~ 2781 m)	
• Completed – February 26, 1955	• W
 Producing Reservoir – Tertiary Wasatch Formation 	
• IPF – 4,618 MCFGPD	
	• Ty
Production Data	
 Greater Natural Buttes field includes 13 individual units 	Gas
 Production co-mingled Wasatch Formation and Mesaverde Group 	• A [*]
 Currently Producing Wells – 3500 	
 Monthly Production (December 2009) – 189,651 BO & 18.3 BCFG 	
 Cumulative Production (as of December 1, 2009) – 15,310,852 BO & 2.02 TCFG 	• C
 Estimated Ultimate Recovery per well for co-mingled Wasatch-Mesaverde – 1.4 to 6 BCFG 	
	Mes
General Keservoir Data	• T]
• Lithology:	• D

Decominative Data		lesav
eservoir Data	•	Thic
verde Group - Fluvial and deltaic sandstones	•	Drill Depe
ch Formation - Fluvial sandstones		and
40 acres	•	Rese

21 E.					R. 22	2 E.					R. 23	E.			
* * *	* * * * * * 2 *		* * * * * 6* *	* * * * * * 5 * * * * *	* * * * * * 4 * * * *	* * *	* * * * * 2 * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * 1* *		* * * * * * * * * * * * * 5* * * * * *	* * 4* * * * 4* *	* 3	2	1 * 	
* ★ ** * 10 + * *	* + * * * * * 11 +	* * * * * * 12 *	* * 7 * *			* * * * 10,* * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * 7 * * * *	8 ¢	9 * + * * *	* * * * * * 10 [°] * * *		* * 12 *	
	* * * * * * * * * 14* * * * * *	*	* * 18 * * 18		* * * * * * * 16 * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	***** ******* *******	* * + 13 + * +	* * * * *18 * * * *	* * * * * * 17 * * * * * *	* * * * * * 16* * * * *	☆ ☆ [×] 15 * -¢	÷ * 14	* 13 *	- T.
× ↔ ↔ ↔ × ↔ × × × × 22* ×	* * * * ²³ * * *	* * * 24 * * *	* * * * 19 * * * *			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * 23 * * 23 * * 23 * * 23		* * * * * 19 * * * * *	* * * * 20* * * *	* * * * * 21 * * *	* + *22 * * * *	+ *23* * * • + * * * *	* ~* *	
	* * **** * * ²⁶ * tes *	↓	* * * * * 30 * *	* * * * 29 * * * * *	* * * * * 28	******** 27	* * * 26 * * *	25 * *	* * * * * * 30* * * * 30* * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * 27 * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * 26 * * * * * *	25 * * *	
° ~ ~ ° ° ~ ~ ~ ° ° ~ ~ ~ ~ ° °	* * * * * * 35 * * * *	* * * * * * 36* * * * *	* * * 31* *			* * * * * 34 * * * *	* * * * * * 35, * * *	* + * * 36 * * * * *	* * * * * 31* * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * ^{34*} * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * 35* * * * * *	* * * * * 36 * * * * * *	
	* * * * * * * 2* *			*********		* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * 2 *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * 6 [°] + * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *4 + * * * *	° × ° ° ° × 3 [°] ° ° + + ° ° × ° × ×	* * * * 2 * * * * * * *	* * * * * [*] 1* _↓ * *	
		* + 12 _	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * 8 * -	* * 9* * 9*	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * 11° * * *	+ * * 12 * + *	* * * * * * * 7 + * * * *	* * * * * * 8 ₅ * * * *	* * *	* * * * 10 [*] * *	* * * * * *11* * +	12	
* * * * ~ * * 15 *	* * * *	* * * * 13 * * * *	* * * * * * 18 *	*	* * 16 * *	* * * 15,		• + 13	* * * * 18	17	* * * 16 * * *	* 15.∲	14	13	- т
		² × ↔ 24 *	* + * + 19* * *	* * * 20 [*]	* 21 * * * *	* -> 22	* * *23	24 -	19	20	21	22	23	24	
	*+ 26 *	* 25 *	* * * * *30 * *	* * 29* * * *		27	* * * * 26	25 +	30	29	28	27	26	25	
÷ 34	÷- 35	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		* * * * * * * [*] 33 * * * * *	≁34	35 ×	* * * * * * -* 36	,	* * * 32 ** *	33	34	35	36	
1)		2	4				5	8 Miles					
			3	I		I	I	1	12 Kil	ometers					

Location of Natural Buttes Unit within Greater Natural Buttes field. The red box outlines section 10 of T. 9 S., R. 21 E., Uintah Co., Utah. The blue star is the location of NBU 253 well within section 10.

Mesaverde Group - Individual sand bodies up to 30 ft Wasatch Formation - Individual sand bodies up to 40 ft

verage Porosity (from core):

Mesaverde Group - 8.58%

Wasatch Formation - 8.75%

Iedian Permeability (from core):

Mesaverde Group - 0.028 mD

Wasatch Formation - 0.095 mD

Vater Saturation:

Mesaverde - Average 50%

Wasatch - Average 45%

Vater Resistivity:

Mesaverde - 0.15 ohm/m Wasatch - 0.1 to 0.15 ohm/m

ype of Drive – Pressure depletion

Characteristics

verage BTU/cubic ft:

Mesaverde - 1114 (280 kg/cal)

Wasatch – 1088 (274 kg/cal)

2 Content:

Mesaverde - < 2% Wasatch - <0.5%

verde Group Characteristics

ckness - 2200 to 2900 ft

Depths to Base – 6000 to 12,000 ft

sitional Environments – Marginal marine at base to upper coastal plain alluvial-plain deposits at top

rvoir Geometry – Stacked, lenticular channels with limited lateral extent.



43047329170000

Geophysical well log of the NBU 253 well, Greater Natural Buttes field, Uintah Co., Utah.

CORE DESCRIPTION OF NBU 253 WELL

API or Location Number 4304732917

Well or Location Name Natural Buttes Unit 253

Section <u>10</u> Township <u>9S</u>. Range <u>21E</u>.

cg sand mg sand fg sand vfg sand silt clay	-ith. Units	Thin Section	Fight Rock Analysis	Accessories Ichnofossils Fossils	Bedding Features	Fractures	Remarks
				5			10.490-10.492.6 Shale, black, silty, not calcareous
							10,492.6-10496.6 Siltstone, dark gray to brown
		*		S []			10,496.6-10,499.8 Shale, black, silty, bioturbated, not calcareous
				\mathbf{S}			10,499.8-10,502.0 Siltstone, bioturbated, with abundant carbonaceous material
	ШN			5			10,502.0-10,508.0 Shale, black, rare silt, bioturbated, coaly fragments near base
	O SANDSTOI						10,508.0-10,534.0 Sandstone, very fine grain, light-medium gray, not calcareous, horizontal to low angle cross-bedding, some small ripples, some bioturbation and horizontal burrows, abundant wispy carbonaceous material increasing towards base of unit, clasts near base, occassional red to pink surface staining
	SEG	*					10,534.0-10,535.4 Shale, black, silty, coaly
		*					10,535.4-10,536.0 Siltstone with carbonaceous material
				5	2		10,536.0-10,540.3 Siltstone, shaley, to silty shale, dark gray to black, finely laminated, abundant carbonaceous and coaly material, clasts, horizontal burrows
		*		5.			10,540.3-10,543.1 Shale, black, coaly near top and silty near base, gradational upper and lower contacts
				Ś		<u> </u>	10,543.1-10,551.9 Shale, silty, dark gray to black, bioturbated, disturbed bedding, some carbonaceous and coaly material, gradational upper and lower contacts
			•				10,551.9-10,557.5 Shale black, occassional thin siltstone and carbonaceous layers, gradational upper and lower contacts
		*				\leq	10,557.5-10,559.0 Siltstone, shaley, dark gray to black, finely laminated, rare small scale ripples
		*				$\overline{\langle}$	10,559.0-10,560.0 Birturbated coaly, silty zone
						$\dot{\dot{\dot{}}}$	10,560.0-10,580.8 Sandstone, fine grain, light gray, not calcareous, salt and pepper, horizontal to low angle cross-beds and some convoluted beds in upper portion, generally low angle cross-bedding, to massive bedding, some carbonaceous wisps throughout, carbonaceous layers and rip-up clasts near base
		***			-	★ ★	10,580.8-10,586.3 Sandstone, light to medium gray, fine grain, massive, rare clay clasts and some carbonaceous clasts
		*	•			- <u></u>	10,586.3-10,624.7 Sandstone, light to medium gray, fine grain, salt and pepper, some low angle cross- beds to massive, some horizontal to rare ripple laminated, some thin carbonaceous layers, massive at base
						٢	10,626.6-10,632.5 Sandstone, light gray, massive, trace horizontal carbonaceous clasts, stylolite
	ONE					₹ ₹ ₹	10,632.5-10,635.0 Sandstone, light gray, fine grain, abundant carbonaceous and ripup clasts 10,635.0-10,650.3 Shale, black, coaly near top, iron rich near top, becoming silty shale, bioturbated,
	DSTC	4		5			10 650 3-10 651 2 Sandstone light gray bioturbated
	E SANI	*		55	℃ ≋≋ 		10,651.2-10,654.4 Sandstone, light gray, horizontal to low angle cross-bedding with some ripples, some carbonaceous clasts, rootlets, some bioturbation
	GAT			Å			10,654.4-10,655.3 Siltstone, dark gray
	ASTLE			((÷	10,655.3-10,658.2 Sandstone, light gray with some red staining, low angle cross-bedding and ripples, carbonaceous clasts near top of unit
	U	*))		-{{-	10,658.2-10,667.3 Siltstone, dark gray, shaley, small scale ripples, some contorted bedding, some pyrite
		*				- \	10,667.3-10,670.6 Shale, dark gray, silty, some clay clasts near base
		*				<u></u>	10,670.6-10,674.6 Sandstone, light to medium gray, fine grain, some ripples, abundant carbonaceous and clay clasts
		_				< <u>~</u>	10,674.6-10,676.5 Sandstone, light gray to gray, very fine grain, horizontal laminations near top becoming low angle cross-bedding near base
		*					10,676.5-10,721.8 Sandstone, fine grain, light gray to gray, occassionally massive, mostly horizontal laminated to low angle cross-bedding, some ripples, and rare small scale trough cross-bedding, some flame structures
						÷	EXPLANATION
						,	Bedding, Features Ichnofossils, and Fossils Lithology
						\rightarrow	→ planar laminations in wedge sets → fracture → trough → trough → fractures → fractures → fractures → fractures → slight bioturbation → slight biotur
Thin section photom	icroorar	ph sho	wn on t	his poster.			iow angle cross-bedoing interse bioturbation interse bioturbation interse bioturbation

✓ fracture, open

Convolute bedding

🔹 🖈 Thin section photomicrograph shown on this poster

PANEL

siltstone

rootlet

○ clasts

Slump structure

_____ fracture, closed

LITHOLOGY, SEDIMENTARY STRUCTURES, AND PETROLOGY

SEGO SANDSTONE





ioturbated, fine-grained



Bioturbated, carbonaceous shale.

CASTLEGATE SANDSTONE



Fine-grained sandstone with cross-bedding. Sandstone has 5.69% porosity and 0.068 mJ of permeability.(10,572 ft)



Fine-grained, laminated sandstone with slightly open vertical, natural fracture. Sandstone has 5.13% porosity and 0.007 mD of permeability. (10,579.7 ft)



Thin section showing moderately sorted, sub-rounded to sub-angular quartz grains in a clay matrix.*



Massive, fine-grained sandstone with 5.74% porosity and 0.042 mD of permeability. (10,612 ft)



Bioturbated silty, sandstone with 3.88% porosity and 0.007 mD of permeability. (10,650.5)



Thin section showing moderately sorted, sub-rounded quartz grains in a clay matrix with carbonaceous(?) stringers. Note lack of porosity.*



Fine-grained sandstone with horizontal laminations. Sandstone has 5.43% porosity and 0.007 mD of permeability. (10,677 ft)



Pyrite nodule and arbonaceous clasts in

Thin section showing poorly sorted, sub-rounded to sub-angular quartz grains in a minor amount of clay matrix. Note lack of porosity.*



Bioturbated, siltstone with

Fine-grained sandstone with rigup clasts of red mudstone and carbonaceous shale. (10,633 fi



Thin section showing clav-rich clast(?) surrounded by poorly sorted, sub-rounded to sub-angular quartz grains in a clay matrix. Note sparse porosity visible in top photo.*





Thin section showing poorly sorted, sub-rounded to sub-angular quartz grains with sparse clay. Note more porosity than other samples.*



Convoluted bedding in fine-grained sandstone. Sandstone has 7.74% porosity and 0.054 mD of permeability. (10,706.5 ft)



Open fracture in homogeno e-grained sandstone with slig iron(?) staining. Sandston

CASTLEGATE SANDSTONE



Fracture #	Depth Top (ft)	Depth Base (ft)	Fracture Length (ft)	Orientation	Aperture	Mineral- ization?
1	10532.0	10532.2	0.2	Sub-vertical	Open	No
2	10565.7	10565.9	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
3	10575.5	10575.7	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
4	10579.4	10579.9	0.5	Vertical	Closed	No
5	10580.7	10581.2	0.5	Vertical	Open	No
6	10584.0	10584.6	0.6	Vertical	Closed	No
7	10584.8	10585.4	0.6	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
8	10590.0	10590.7	0.7	Vertical	Open	No
9	10596.1	10596.3	0.2	Vertical	Closed	No
10	10600.7	10600.9	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
11	10606.2	10606.5	0.3	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
12	10607.7	10607.9	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
13	10608.5	10608.7	0.2	Vertical	Open	No
14	10617.7	10617.7	0.2	Horizontal	Closed	No
15	10631.5	10631.9	0.4	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
16	10634.7	10634.9	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
17	10657.4	10657.9	0.5	Vertical	Closed	Possible
18	10671.7	10672.2	0.5	Vertical	Closed	No
19	10671.8	10672.0	0.2	Sub-vertical	Closed	No
21	10676.2	10676.4	0.2	Vertical	Closed	No
22	10679.1	10679.6	0.5	Vertical	Open	No
23	10686.3	10686.5	0.2	Vertical	Open	No
24	10688.0	10688.7	0.7	Vertical	Open	No
25	10711.5	10714.0	2.5	Vertical	Open	Yes
26	10718.0	10718.7	0.7	Vertical	Closed	No

Table of fractures from NBU 253 Well.

FRACTURES





Open, vertical fracture in sandstone. No discernable mineralizatio

Vertical, closed fracture offsetting laminated sandstone on left with massive sandstone on the right. Amount of offset is unknown Fracture is offset at top by thin, carbonaceous minae. (10,631 ft)



Fine-grained sandstone with highangle cross-bedding and vertical natural fractures. Fractures are offset and slightly open with no discernable mineral 0,718 ft)



Bar graph showing the variation in vertical length of fractures

Sample ID	Sample Description	Depth (ft)	As Received Bulk Density (g/cc)	As Received Grain Density (g/cc)	Dry Grain Density (g/cc)	Effective Porosity (% of BV)	Water Saturation (% of PV)	Gas Saturation (% of PV)	Mobile Oil Saturation (% of PV)	Gas Filled Porosity (% of BV)	Bound Hydrocarbon Saturation (% of BV)	Bound Clay Water (% of BV)	Steady-State Permeability (mD)
1	Fine-grained sandstone with fracture	10532.0	2.655	2.705	2.710	2.06	2.86	88.55	8.59	1.82	0.07	2.80	162.238***
2	Cross-bedded sandstone	10572.0	2.518	2.660	2.667	5.69	2.13	93.79	4.09	5.34	0.09	0.74	0.068
3	Laminated, fine-grained sandstone	10580.0	2.535	2.667	2.671	5.13	0.18	96.53	3.28	4.95	0.07	0.83	0.007
4	Massive sandstone	10612.0	2.513	2.657	2.663	5.74	1.62	94.33	4.05	5.41	0.00	0.51	0.042
5	Bioturbated, sandstone	10650.5	2.562	2.653	2.662	3.88	4.88	89.03	6.09	3.45	0.00	2.65	0.007
6	Laminated, fine-grained sandstone	10677.0	2.538	2.676	2.682	5.43	0.86	94.81	4.32	5.15	0.00	0.42	0.007
7	Low-angle cross-bedding in sandstone	10700.0	2.533	2.677	2.684	5.72	2,46	94.59	2.95	5.41	0.07	0.75	0.007
8	Sandstone with contorted bedding	10706.5	2.477	2.670	2.681	7.74	3.66	93.38	2.96	7.23	0.00	0.82	0.054



Cross plot of porosity and permeability



Line graph showing density versus depth

SUMMARY

TIGHT ROCK ANALYSIS







Line graph showing permeability versus depth

• The Upper Cretaceous Mesaverde Group was deposited in Campanian time in offshore marine, nearshore marine, coastal plain, and braided stream environments during the last phase of the Cretaceous Interior Seaway.

• The GNB field produces co-mingled gas from tight sandstones of the Tertiary Wasatch Formation and Cretaceous Mesaverde Group. As of December 2009, over 2 TCF of gas has been produced from over 3500 wells.

• Study of core from the Natural Buttes Unit 253, shows that the Castlegate and Sego Sandstones (lower Mesaverde Group) are mostly sandstones interbedded with carbonaceous siltstone and shale. Individual sandstones range from very fine to fine grained and have low-angle cross-bedding, small ripples, sparse burrows, and moderate bioturbation. At this location, the Castlegate was deposited in a marginal marine to lower coastal plain environment, whereas the Sego is a marginal marine deposit.

• Twenty-six fractures were identified in the core. Most are natural fractures between 2 and 9 inches (8 - 20 cm) long vertically, closed or slightly open with no discernable mineralization. Several appear to be drilling induced and are nearly vertical and very long (~2.5 ft [0.75 m]) with no mineralization.

• Tight Rock Analysis shows that the Castlegate and Sego Sandstones have low porosity (2.06 to 7.74%) and low permeability (0.007 to 0.068 mD).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



Act of 2005. RPSEA (www.rpsea org) is a nonprofit corporation whose

mentally responsible technology that can effectively deliver hydrocarbons from domestic resources to the citizens of the United States. RPSEA, operating as a onsortium of premier U.S. energy research universities ndustry, and independent research organizations, manages the program under a contract with the U.S. Department c Energy's National Energy Technology Laboratory.



This ongoing research is performed THE Utah, Dr. Milind Deo, Project Manager UNIVERSITY and Principal Investigator. Support is OF UTAH also provided by the Utah Geological Survey. Other partners for this project

include Utah State University, Golder Associates, Inc., Energy & Geoscience Institute, and ITASCA Houston, Inc. Project Web site: geology.utah.gov/emp/tightgas/index.

The poster design was by Stevie Emerson of the UGS. Michael D. Laine, Thomas Dempster, and Brad Wolverton of the UGS Core Research Center prepared and photographed the core. Ryan Sonntag of Utah State University provided photomicrographs of thin sections. Tight Rock Analysis performed by TerraTek, A Schlumberger Company.

REFERENCES

- Fouch, T.D., Nuccio, V.F. Osmond, J.C., MacMillan, L., Cashion, W.B., and Wandrey, C.J., 1992, Oil and gas in uppermost Cretaceous and Tertiary rock, Uinta Basin, Utah, *in* Fouch, T.D, Nuccio, V.F., Chidsey, T.C., Jr., editors, Hydrocarbon and mineral resources of the Uinta Basin, Utah and Colorado: Utah Geological Association Guidebook 20, p.
- Osmond, J.C., 1992, Greater Natural Buttes Gas Field, Uintah County, Utah, *in* Fouch, T.D, Nuccio, V.F., Chidsey, T.C., Jr., editors, Hydrocarbon and mineral resources of the Uinta Basin, Utah and Colorado: Utah Geological Association Guidebook 20, p. 143-164.
- Utah Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining, 2010, Oil and gas pro-duction report, December: Online, https://fs.ogm.utah. gov/pub/Oil&Gas/Publications/Reports/Prod/Field/Fld_ Dec_2009.pdf accessed May 19, 2010.

Utah Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining well completion files.

White, H., Cole, R., Stancel, S., Lee, C., and MacMillan, L., 2008, "Window" outcrop analogues for Greater Natural Buttes Field, Uinta Basin Utah, *in* Longman, M.W., and Morgan, C.D., editors, Hydrocarbon systems and production in the Uinta Basin, Utah: Rocky Mountain Association and Utah Geological Association Publication 37, p. 209-236.

PANEL II